

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/014,885	12/11/2001	Franz Forster	964-011861	861 2379	
7:	590 04/18/2003				
William H. Logsdon . WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			EXAMINER		
			AVERY, BRIDGET D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3618			
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	Λ
, •		10/014,885		FORSTER, FRANZ	
Offic	: Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-
	•	Bridget Avery		3618	4
The MA Period f r Reply	ILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cove	r sheet with th c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 THS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, it by the Office later than three months after the mailing in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
<u> </u>	sive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	<u>March 2002</u> .			
2a)☐ This ac	tion is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	inal.		
, —	nis application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the r	merits is
	n accordance with the practice under a mains	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s)	1-24 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the	e above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consider	ation.		
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s)	1-7,9-13 and 19-24 is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s)	8 and 14-18 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.		
Application Pape	rs			•	
	ification is objected to by the Examine				
•	ing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	-	-		
• •	nt may not request that any objection to the		· ·		
, ,	osed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.	
<u> </u>	ved, corrected drawings are required in rep		tion.		
· —	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
-	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
•	edgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		_		
	ertified copies of the priority documents				
	ertified copies of the priority documents		• •	-	
	opies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ttached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		age
	dgment is made of a claim for domesti	•	•		pplication).
a) 🗌 The	translation of the foreign language pro dgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applicat	ion has been rec	eived.	•
Attachment(s)	agmont is made of a daim for domest	o priority unuel s	.c c _. .c.c. 33 120	GIM/OI IZI.	
1) Notice of Refere	nces Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍	Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s).	_
2) Notice of Draftsp	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲		Patent Application (PTO-1	
L U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)		tion Summary		Part of P	aper No. 4

Application/Control Number: 10/014,885

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 19, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Priddy, Jr., deceased et al. (US Patent 4,133,403).

Priddy, Jr., et al. teaches a drive device for a vehicle, the drive device including: a traction drive system having a drive axle (55); and a hydraulic work system having at least one electric motor (13, 17) and at least one pump (59) driven by the electric motor (13, 17), where at least one of the electric motor (13, 17) and the pump (59) are integrated into the drive axle (55) or are located directly on the drive axle (55). The drive axle (55) has two traction motors (35, 39). The traction motors (35, 38) are located on the ends of the drive axle (55) and at least one of the electric motor (13, 17) and the pump (59) are located axially between the traction motors (35, 39). The traction motors (35, 39) are hydraulic motors having secondary regulation systems (43). An installed delivery capacity of the pump (59) is designed to deliver a volume of fluid required by the hydraulic work system. The drive axle (55) includes at least one traction motor (35, 39), where at least one of the electric motor (13, 17) of the hydraulic work system and the traction motor (35, 39) of the traction drive system is an oil-cooled

electric motor (13,17) and is connected with an oil circuit (inherent) of the hydraulic work system. An oil tank (57) connected to the hydraulic work system and integrated into the drive axle (55) or located immediately next to the drive axle (55) as shown in Figure 1. Priddy, Jr., et al. also anticipated the use of drive device on a fork lift truck (note teaching of "use in propelling any wheeled vehicle in column 2, lines 1-3) powered by an electric storage battery (as stated in column 1, lines 48-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Priddy, Jr., et al. ('403).

Priddy, Jr., et al. teaches the features described above including the use of a pair of hydraulic motors (35, 39) and a pair of electric motors (13, 17). The device including a reducing transmission (29, 31) installed downstream of each electric motor. The reducing transmissions are planetary gear trains.

Priddy, Jr., et al. lacks the exact teaching of the traction motors being electric motors.

Based on the teachings of Priddy, Jr., et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use electric motors

as the traction motors to promote energy efficiency and to provide means of fully charging the battery when the traction motors are not in use.

3. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Priddy, Jr., et al. ('403).

Priddy, Jr., et al. teaches the features described above including the use of a pair of hydraulic motors (35, 39) and a pair of electric motors (13, 17).

Priddy, Jr., et al. lacks the teaching of using a single traction motor.

However, based on the teachings of Priddy, Jr., et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use a single traction motor, instead of a pair, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Priddy, Jr., deceased et al. ('403) in view of Braschler (US Patent 5,289,905).

Priddy, Jr., deceased et al. lacks the teaching of an axle housing substantially closed on all sides.

Braschler teaches an axle housing (19) substantially closed on all sides.

Based on the teachings of Braschler, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the device of

Priddy, Jr., et al. to include a substantially closed axle housing to confine the electric wheel drive components therein and thereby preventing damage to wheel motors and pump.

5. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Priddy, Jr., deceased et al. ('403) and Braschler ('905) and further in view of McCoy (US Patent 4,570,741).

The combination of Priddy, Jr. et al. and Braschler teach the features described above.

The combination of Priddy, Jr., deceased et al. and Braschler lack the teaching of a motor control fastened to the axle housing

McCoy teaches a mulit-wheel drive system including a motor control (60) and a valve control (65, 66) installed on pump. See column 2, lines 36-68.

Based on the teachings of McCoy, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Priddy, Jr. et al. and Braschler to include a motor control to regulate operation of the motor for various operating conditions.

6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Priddy, Jr., deceased et al. ('403) in view of McCoy (US Patent 4,570,741).

Priddy, Jr., deceased et al. lacks the teaching of a motor control fastened to the axle housing and a valve control installed on the pump.

McCoy teaches a mulit-wheel drive system including a valve control (65, 66) installed on pump. See column 2, lines 36-68.

Based on the teachings of McCoy, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Priddy, Jr., et al. to include a valve control to regulate the flow of pressurized fluid and to reduce build up.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 8 and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gazyakan et al. shows an electrical individual wheel drive with several motors.

Zetterstrom shows a vehicle wheel suspension arrangement.

Teal et al. shows a drive and steer vehicle.

Lee et al. shows an omnibus.

Wakuta et al. shows a lubricating device for a vehicle motor.

Wakuta et al. shows an electric motorized wheel unit with integral motorized cooling oil pump.

Kawamoto et al. shows a motor driving device provided with decelerator and electric vehicle.

Jones et al. shows a power assisted steering for vehicles.

lijima et al. shows an electric wheel drive.

Anderson et al. shows a drive and brake assembly.

Shea shows a vehicle having auxiliary drive mechanism.

Ganoung shows a power train and method for achieving low exhaust emission and high fuel economy operation of a combustion engine.

Rockwell et al. shows an electric powered wheel.

Keene et al. shows a traction mechanism actuated pressure source.

Forster shows an automotive vehicle with hydrostatic drive.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 703-308-2086.

April 7, 2003

TEUMINE SUM SERVICE SOON